# PUBLIC MATTER

1	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA				
2	OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL STEVEN J. MOAWAD, No. 190358				
3	CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	FILED			
4	SUSAN CHAN, No. 233229				
5	ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ALLEN BLUMENTHAL, No. 110243 SUPERVISING ATTORNEY	APR 2 0 2018			
6	DUNCAN CARLING, No. 262387	STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO			
7	DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 180 Howard Street				
8	San Francisco, California 94105-1639 Telephone: (415) 538-2204				
9					
10	STATE BAR COURT				
11	HEARING DEPARTMENT - SAN FRANCISCO				
12					
13	In the Matter of:	) Case Nos. 17-O-00962			
14	MINA LEE RAMIREZ,	) 17-O-04304 ) 17-O-06062			
15	No. 118302,				
16	A Member of the State Bar	) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES			
17					
18	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!				
19	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:				
20					
21	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE EI	NTERED;			
22	(2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW;				
23	(3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION				
24	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND;  (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE.  SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN				
25					
26	ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ.,				
	RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.				

kwiktag © 237 304 022

-1-

The State Bar of California alleges:

#### JURISDICTION

 Mina Lee Ramirez ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on June 13, 1985, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

## **COUNT ONE**

Case No. 17-O-00962 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]

2. On or about August 1, 2015, respondent received advanced fees of \$2,800 from a client, Mohamed Elemeiry, to represent him in a martial dissolution case. Elemeiry terminated the representation within three months and requested an accounting of earned fees and a refund of unearned fees in our about December 2015. Respondent did not refund the unearned fees until April 2017. Respondent failed to refund promptly, upon respondent's termination of employment in or about December 2015, any part of the \$2,800 fee to the client, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2).

#### **COUNT TWO**

Case No. 17-O-00962
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3)
[Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]

3. On or about July 20, 2015, respondent received from respondent's client, Mohamed Elemeiry, the sum of \$2,800 as advanced fees for legal services to be performed. Respondent thereafter failed to render an appropriate accounting to the client regarding those funds following the client's request for such accounting upon the termination of respondent's employment on or about December 2015, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3).

# 

#### COUNT THREE

Case No. 17-O-00962
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m)
[Failure to Respond to Client Inquiries]

4. Respondent failed to respond promptly to approximately 40 telephonic reasonable status inquiries made by respondent's client, Mohamed Elemeiry, between December 2015 and December 2016 that respondent received in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).

### COUNT FOUR

Case No. 17-O-00962
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

5. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against respondent by failing to provide a timely response to the State Bar's letters of June 1, June 26, and July 27, 2017, which respondent received, that requested respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated in case no. 17-O-00962, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

#### **COUNT FIVE**

Case No. 17-O-04304
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2)
[Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]

6. On or about April 5, 2017, respondent received advanced fees of \$1,750 from a client, Gozalo Gomez, to represent him in a legal matter related to his passport. Gomez terminated the representation in May 2017 and requested a refund of unearned fees. Respondent did not refund the unearned fees until September 2017. Respondent failed to refund promptly, upon respondent's termination of employment in or about May 2017, any part of the \$1,750 fee to the client, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2).

1 **COUNT SIX** 2 Case No. 17-O-04304 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i) 3 [Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation] 7. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending 4 against respondent by failing to provide a timely response to the State Bar's letter of September 5 8, 2017, which respondent received, that requested respondent's response to the allegations of 6 misconduct being investigated in case no. 17-O-04304, in willful violation of Business and 7 8 Professions Code, section 6068(i). 9 10 **COUNT SEVEN** 11 Case No. 17-O-06062 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) 12 [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees] 8. On or about April 3, 2017, respondent received advanced fees of \$5,435 from a client, 13 Maria Buenrostro, to represent her in a defamation case. Buenrostro terminated the 14 representation the following day and requested a refund of unearned fees. Respondent did not 15 refund the unearned fees until April 2018. Respondent failed to refund promptly, upon 16 respondent's termination of employment on or about April 4, 2017, any part of the \$5,435 fee to 17 the client, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2). 18 19 **COUNT EIGHT** 20 Case No. 17-O-06062 21 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i) [Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation] 22 9. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending 23 against respondent by failing to provide a response to the State Bar's letter of October 19, 2017, 24 which respondent received, that requested respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct 25 being investigated in case no. 17-O-06062, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, 26 section 6068(i). 27

28

#### **COUNT NINE**

Case No. 17-O-06062
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m)
[Failure to Respond to Client Inquiries]

10. Respondent failed to respond promptly to ten telephonic reasonable status inquiries made by respondent's client, Maria Buenrostro, between April and October 2017 that respondent received in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).

# NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.

#### **NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!**

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: April 20, 2018

Duncan Carling
Deputy Trial Counsel

By:

#### DECLARATION OF SERVICE

by

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

#### CASE NUMBER(s): 17-O-00962; 17-O-04304; 17-O-06062

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105, declare that:

- on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows:

		NOTICE OF DISCIPLI	NARY CHARGES	n der sterning for de transport of the sterning of the sternin		
$\boxtimes$	By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a))  - in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of San Francisco.  By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d))  - I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS')					
	By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.					
	By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6)  Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.					
	(for U.S. First-Class Mail)	in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mail	ling at San Francisco, addressed to	D: (see below)		
(for Certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 9414 7266 9904 2112 6624 47 at San Francisco, addressed to: (see below)						
	(for Overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, addressed to: (see below)					
	Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:		
Alison P. Buchanan, Respondent's Counsel		Alison P. Buchanan Hoge Fenton Jones & Appel,Inc 60 S Market St Ste 1400 San Jose, CA 95113-2396	Electronic Address			
] via	inter-office mail regularly	processed and maintained by the State Bar of	California addressed to:			

I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same

I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: April 20, 2018

D: VIVIS

Declarant